

Constructing lump solutions to a generalized Kadomtsev–Petviashvili–Boussinesq equation

Xing Lü · Shou-Ting Chen · Wen-Xiu Ma

Received: 10 April 2016 / Accepted: 16 June 2016
© Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht 2016

Abstract Associated with the prime number $p = 3$, a combined model of generalized bilinear Kadomtsev–Petviashvili and Boussinesq equation (gbKPB for short) in terms of the function f is proposed, which involves four arbitrary coefficients. To guarantee the existence of lump solutions, a constraint among these four coefficients is presented firstly, and then, the lump solutions are constructed and classified via searching for positive quadratic function solutions to the gbKPB equation. Different conditions posed on lump parameters are investigated to keep the analyticity and rational localization of the resulting solutions. Finally, 3-dimensional plots, density plots and 2-dimensional curves with particular choices of the involved parameters are given to show the profile characteristics of

the presented lump solutions for the potential function $u = 2(\ln f)_x$.

Keywords Lump solution · Generalized bilinear operator · Generalized Kadomtsev–Petviashvili–Boussinesq equation

Mathematics Subject Classification 35A25 · 37K10

1 Introduction

Soliton solutions, as a kind of special solutions to integrable nonlinear evolution equations (NLEEs) [1–13], are exponentially localized in certain directions, while lump solutions are rationally localized in all directions in the space [14–19]. The Hirota bilinear representation of NLEEs plays an important role in searching for soliton solutions as well as lump solutions [18–21]. In recent years, by involving different prime numbers, Hirota bilinear operators have been generalized to generate diverse nonlinear differential equations possessing potential applications [22–24]. Soliton and lump solutions have both been studied for the Hirota bilinear equations [18–20, 25–28], and resonant N -wave solution and rational solutions have been solved for some generalized Hirota bilinear equations [22–24, 29–31]. Therefore, it is naturally interesting to investigate lump solutions for NLEEs which possess generalized bilinear forms.

X. Lü (✉)
Department of Mathematics, Beijing Jiao Tong University,
Beijing 100044, China
e-mail: XLV@bjtu.edu.cn; xinglv655@aliyun.com

S.-T. Chen
School of Mathematics and Physical Science, Xuzhou
Institute of Technology, Jiangsu 221111, China

W.-X. Ma
Department of Mathematics and Statistics,
University of South Florida, Tampa, FL 33620, USA

W.-X. Ma
Department of Mathematical Sciences, International
Institute for Symmetry Analysis and Mathematical
Modelling, North-West University, Mafikeng Campus,
Private Bag X 2046, Mmabatho 2735, South Africa

For example, the Boussinesq equation [21]

$$u_{tt} + (u^2)_{xx} + u_{xxx} = 0, \quad (1)$$

and the Kadomtsev–Petviashvili (KP) equation [20, 32–34]

$$(u_t + 6u u_x + u_{xxx})_x + u_{yy} = 0, \quad (2)$$

enjoy the following Hirota bilinear representation as

$$(D_t^2 + D_x^4) f \cdot f = 2(f_{tt} f - f_t^2 + f_{xxx} f - 4f_{xxx} f_x + 3f_{xx}^2) = 0, \quad (3)$$

and

$$(D_x D_t + D_x^4 + D_y^2) f \cdot f = 2(f_{xt} f - f_x f_t + f_{xxx} f - 4f_{xxx} f_x + 3f_{xx}^2 + f_{yy} f - f_y^2) = 0, \quad (4)$$

through the transformations $u = 6[\ln f(x, t)]_{xx}$ and $u = 2[\ln f(x, y, t)]_{xx}$, respectively, where the Hirota bilinear derivatives D_t^2 , D_x^4 , $D_x D_t$ and D_y^2 [20] are defined by

$$D_x^\alpha D_y^\beta D_t^\gamma (f \cdot g) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x'} \right)^\alpha \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y'} \right)^\beta \times \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t'} \right)^\gamma f(x, y, t) g(x', y', t') \Big|_{x'=x, y'=y, t'=t}.$$

Hereby, a combination version of the bilinear KP Eq. (4) and the bilinear Boussinesq Eq. (3) in terms of the function f reads

$$\begin{aligned} \text{bKP} &:= (c_1 D_x D_t + c_2 D_t^2 + c_3 D_x^4 + c_4 D_y^2) f \cdot f \\ &= 2 \left[c_1 (f_{xt} f - f_x f_t) + c_2 (f_{tt} f - f_t^2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + c_3 (f_{xxx} f - 4f_{xxx} f_x + 3f_{xx}^2) + c_4 (f_{yy} f - f_y^2) \right] = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

with c_i ($1 \leq i \leq 4$) as arbitrary real constants, which can be regarded as the Hirota bilinear form of a combined KP–Boussinesq equation

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cKP} &:= c_1 u_{xt} + c_2 u_{tt} + c_3 (6u_x u_{xx} + u_{xxx}) \\ &\quad + c_4 u_{yy} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

through the transformation¹ $u = 2[\ln f(x, y, t)]_x$.

Based on a prime number p , a kind of generalized bilinear operators has been introduced [22–24] as

$$\begin{aligned} D_{p, x_1}^{n_1} \cdots D_{p, x_M}^{n_M} (f \cdot g) &= \prod_{i=1}^M \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x'_i} \right)^{n_i} \\ &\times f(x_1, \dots, x_M) g(x'_1, \dots, x'_M) \Big|_{x'_1=x_1, \dots, x'_M=x_M}, \end{aligned}$$

where $n_1, \dots, n_M$ are arbitrary nonnegative integers and for an integer m , the m th power of α is computed as follows:

$$\alpha^m = (-1)^{r(m)}, \text{ if } m \equiv r(m) \pmod{p} \text{ with } 0 \leq r(m) < p. \quad (7)$$

Under the rule given by Eq. (7), which indicates a way to take the signs $+1$ or -1 , we find that if $p = 2k$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$), all the bilinear operators defined above turn out to be the Hirota bilinear operators, since $D_{2k, x} = D_x$ [22–24]. If $p = 3$, we particularly have

$$\alpha_3 = -1, \quad \alpha_3^2 = \alpha_3^3 = 1, \quad \alpha_3^4 = -1, \quad \alpha_3^5 = \alpha_3^6 = 1, \dots$$

With $p = 3$, we can generalize the Hirota bilinear Boussinesq Eq. (3) and the Hirota bilinear KP Eq. (4), respectively, into

$$(D_{3,t}^2 + D_{3,x}^4) f \cdot f = 2(f_{tt} f - f_t^2 + 3f_{xx}^2) = 0, \quad (8)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (D_{3,x} D_{3,t} + D_{3,x}^4 + D_{3,y}^2) f \cdot f &= 2(f_{xt} f - f_x f_t \\ &\quad + 3f_{xx}^2 + f_{yy} f - f_y^2) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

and the combined bilinear KP–Boussinesq Eq. (5) can be generalized as

¹ The transformation employed here is motivated by the Bell polynomial theories (see, e.g., [22–24, 35–37]), and actually, we have $\left[\frac{\text{bKP}}{f^2} \right]_x = \text{cKP}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{gbKPB} &:= (c_1 D_{3,x} D_{3,t} + c_2 D_{3,t}^2 + c_3 D_{3,x}^4 + c_4 D_{3,y}^2) f \cdot f \\ &= 2 \left[c_1 (f_{xt} f - f_x f_t) + c_2 (f_{tt} f - f_t^2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 3 c_3 f_{xx}^2 + c_4 (f_{yy} f - f_y^2) \right] = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Eq. (10) is a generalized bilinear KP–Boussinesq (gbKPB) equation, which is connected with the following scalar nonlinear differential equation in terms of potential function u as

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 u_{xt} + c_2 u_{tt} + \frac{3}{2} c_3 \left(u^3 u_x + 2 u u_x^2 + u^2 u_{xx} + 2 u_x u_{xx} \right) \\ + c_4 u_{yy} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

through the transformation $u = 2[\ln f(x, y, t)]_x$. Actually, the equality between f and u

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\frac{\text{gbKPB}}{f^2} \right]_x &= c_1 u_{xt} + c_2 u_{tt} \\ &+ \frac{3}{2} c_3 \left(u^3 u_x + 2 u u_x^2 + u^2 u_{xx} + 2 u_x u_{xx} \right) \\ &+ c_4 u_{yy}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} a_1 &= \frac{c_4 (a_3 a_6^2 - 2 a_2 a_6 a_7 - a_3 a_7^2) - c_2 a_3 (a_3^2 + a_7^2)}{c_1 (a_3^2 + a_7^2)}, \quad a_2 = a_2, a_3 = a_3, a_4 = a_4, \\ a_5 &= \frac{c_4 (a_7 a_2^2 - 2 a_2 a_3 a_6 - a_7 a_6^2) - c_2 a_7 (a_3^2 + a_7^2)}{c_1 (a_3^2 + a_7^2)}, \quad a_6 = a_6, \quad a_7 = a_7, a_8 = a_8, \\ a_9 &= -3 \frac{c_3}{c_4} \frac{\left[(c_4 a_2^2 + c_2 a_3^2)^2 + (c_4 a_6^2 + c_2 a_7^2)^2 + 2 (c_4 a_2 a_6 + c_2 a_3 a_7)^2 - 2 c_2 c_4 (a_2 a_7 - a_3 a_6)^2 \right]^2}{(a_3^2 + a_7^2) (a_2 a_7 - a_3 a_6)^2} \end{aligned} \right\},$$

shows that if f is a solution to Eq. (10), then $u = 2(\ln f)_x$ solves Eq. (11).

In this paper, we will be devoted to the gbKPB equation, i.e., Eq. (10), which is generated with bilinear differential operator extension method and involves four arbitrary coefficients, c_1 , c_2 , c_3 and c_4 . By checking the existence of lump solutions, a constraint among these four coefficients will be presented firstly, and then, the lump solutions will be constructed to Eq. (11) via searching for positive quadratic function solutions to Eq. (10). Four classes of lump solutions will be pre-

sented, and different conditions posed on lump parameters will be investigated to keep the analyticity and rational localization of the resulting solutions. Finally, a few concluding remarks will be given at the end of the paper.

2 Lump solutions to the gbKPB equation

To find the lump solutions to potential function u in Eq. (11), we search for quadratic function solutions to Eq. (10) with the assumption

$$f = g^2 + h^2 + a_9, \quad (12)$$

with

$$g = a_1 x + a_2 y + a_3 t + a_4,$$

$$h = a_5 x + a_6 y + a_7 t + a_8,$$

where a_i ($1 \leq i \leq 9$) are all real parameters to be determined. Symbolic computation on a direct substitution of Eq. (12) into Eq. (10) generates the following set of constraining equations on the parameters:

which needs to satisfy both

$$\text{I: } \{c_1 c_4 \neq 0, c_2 = c_2, c_3 c_4 < 0\}, \quad (13)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{II: } \{ &a_2 a_7 - a_3 a_6 \neq 0, (c_4 a_2^2 + c_2 a_3^2)^2 + (c_4 a_6^2 + c_2 a_7^2)^2 \\ &+ 2 (c_4 a_2 a_6 + c_2 a_3 a_7)^2 - 2 c_2 c_4 (a_2 a_7 - a_3 a_6)^2 \neq 0 \}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

It can be seen that the set of Condition **I** constrains purely on the equation coefficients to realize the existence of lump solutions. Without loss of generality, we will consider two cases as $\{c_1 \neq 0, c_2 = c_2, c_3 = 1, c_4 = -1\}$ and $\{c_1 \neq 0, c_2 = c_2, c_3 = -1, c_4 = 1\}$.

to realize the localization of u in all directions in the (x, y) -plane. The parameters in the set (15) yield the first class of positive quadratic function solutions to Eq. (10) as

$$f = \left(\frac{a_3 a_2^2 + 2 a_2 a_6 a_7 - a_3 a_6^2 - c_2 a_3 (a_3^2 + a_7^2)}{c_1 (a_3^2 + a_7^2)} x + a_2 y + a_3 t + a_4 \right)^2 + \left(\frac{a_7 a_6^2 + 2 a_2 a_3 a_6 - a_7 a_2^2 - c_2 a_7 (a_3^2 + a_7^2)}{c_1 (a_3^2 + a_7^2)} x + a_6 y + a_7 t + a_8 \right)^2 + \frac{3 \left[c_2^2 (a_3^2 + a_7^2)^2 + 2 c_2 (a_2 a_7 - a_3 a_6)^2 - 2 c_2 (a_2 a_3 + a_6 a_7)^2 + (a_2^2 + a_6^2)^2 \right]^2}{c_1^4 (a_3^2 + a_7^2) (a_2 a_7 - a_3 a_6)^2}, \quad (19)$$

2.1 $\{c_1 \neq 0, c_2 = c_2, c_3 = 1, c_4 = -1\}$

In this case, we can obtain two sets of constraining equations on the parameters.

The first set of constraining equations on the parameters in this case is

which can be used to generate the first class of lump solutions to Eq. (11) through the transformation

$$u^{(I)} = \frac{4(a_1 g + a_5 h)}{f}, \quad (20)$$

where the function f is defined by Eq. (19), and the functions g and h are given as follows:

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} a_1 &= \frac{a_3 a_2^2 + 2 a_2 a_6 a_7 - a_3 a_6^2 - c_2 a_3 (a_3^2 + a_7^2)}{c_1 (a_3^2 + a_7^2)}, \quad a_2 = a_2, a_3 = a_3, a_4 = a_4, \\ a_5 &= \frac{a_7 a_6^2 + 2 a_2 a_3 a_6 - a_7 a_2^2 - c_2 a_7 (a_3^2 + a_7^2)}{c_1 (a_3^2 + a_7^2)}, \quad a_6 = a_6, a_7 = a_7, a_8 = a_8, \\ a_9 &= \frac{3 \left[c_2^2 (a_3^2 + a_7^2)^2 + 2 c_2 (a_2 a_7 - a_3 a_6)^2 - 2 c_2 (a_2 a_3 + a_6 a_7)^2 + (a_2^2 + a_6^2)^2 \right]^2}{c_1^4 (a_3^2 + a_7^2) (a_2 a_7 - a_3 a_6)^2} \end{aligned} \right\}, \quad (15)$$

which needs to satisfy the condition

$$a_2 a_7 - a_3 a_6 \neq 0, \quad (16)$$

to make the corresponding solutions f be well defined, the condition

$$a_2 a_3 + a_6 a_7 \neq 0, \quad (17)$$

to guarantee the positiveness of f and the condition

$$c_2 (a_3^2 + a_7^2) + a_2^2 + a_6^2 \neq 0, \quad (18)$$

$$g = \frac{a_3 a_2^2 + 2 a_2 a_6 a_7 - a_3 a_6^2 - c_2 a_3 (a_3^2 + a_7^2)}{c_1 (a_3^2 + a_7^2)} x + a_2 y + a_3 t + a_4, \\ h = \frac{a_7 a_6^2 + 2 a_2 a_3 a_6 - a_7 a_2^2 - c_2 a_7 (a_3^2 + a_7^2)}{c_1 (a_3^2 + a_7^2)} x + a_6 y + a_7 t + a_8.$$

Note here that *eight* parameters $c_1, c_2, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6, a_7$ and a_8 are involved in the solution $u^{(I)}$, and they are

demand to satisfy conditions (16), (17) and (18) to guarantee $u^{(I)}$ to be lump solutions.

The second set of constraining equations on the parameters in this case is

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} a_1 &= \frac{a_2^2 - a_6^2 - c_2 a_3^2}{c_1 a_3}, a_2 = a_2, a_3 = a_3, a_4 = a_4, \\ a_5 &= \frac{-2 c_2 a_2 a_3 a_6}{c_1 (a_2^2 - a_6^2)}, a_6 = a_6, a_7 = \frac{2 a_2 a_3 a_6}{a_2^2 - a_6^2}, \\ a_8 &= a_8, \\ a_9 &= \frac{3 \left[c_2^2 a_3^4 (a_2^2 + a_6^2)^2 - 2 c_2 a_3^2 (a_2^2 - a_6^2)^3 + (a_2^2 - a_6^2)^4 \right]^2}{c_1^4 a_3^4 a_6^2 (a_2^2 - a_6^2)^4} \end{aligned} \right\}, \quad (21)$$

which needs to satisfy the conditions

$$a_3 a_6 (a_2^2 - a_6^2) \neq 0, \quad (22)$$

$$a_2 \neq 0, \quad (23)$$

and

$$c_2 a_3^2 (a_2^2 + a_6^2) + (a_2^2 - a_6^2)^2 \neq 0, \quad (24)$$

to guarantee the well-definedness of f , the positiveness of f and the localization of u in all directions in the space, respectively. The parameters in the set (21) yield the second class of positive quadratic function solutions to Eq. (10) as

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \left(\frac{a_2^2 - a_6^2 - c_2 a_3^2}{c_1 a_3} x + a_2 y + a_3 t + a_4 \right)^2 \\ &+ \left(-\frac{2 c_2 a_2 a_3 a_6}{c_1 (a_2^2 - a_6^2)} x + a_6 y + \frac{2 a_2 a_3 a_6}{a_2^2 - a_6^2} t + a_8 \right)^2 \\ &+ \frac{3 \left[c_2^2 a_3^4 (a_2^2 + a_6^2)^2 - 2 c_2 a_3^2 (a_2^2 - a_6^2)^3 + (a_2^2 - a_6^2)^4 \right]^2}{c_1^4 a_3^4 a_6^2 (a_2^2 - a_6^2)^4}, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

which leads to the second class of lump solutions to Eq. (11) through the transformation

$$u^{(II)} = \frac{4(a_1 g + a_5 h)}{f}, \quad (26)$$

where the function f is defined by Eq. (25), and the functions g and h are given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} g &= \frac{a_2^2 - a_6^2 - c_2 a_3^2}{c_1 a_3} x + a_2 y + a_3 t + a_4, \\ h &= -\frac{2 c_2 a_2 a_3 a_6}{c_1 (a_2^2 - a_6^2)} x + a_6 y + \frac{2 a_2 a_3 a_6}{a_2^2 - a_6^2} t + a_8. \end{aligned}$$

Note here that seven parameters $c_1, c_2, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6$ and a_8 are involved in the solution $u^{(II)}$, and they are demanded to satisfy conditions (22), (23) and (24) to guarantee $u^{(II)}$ to be lump solutions.

$$2.2 \{c_1 \neq 0, c_2 = c_2, c_3 = -1, c_4 = 1\}$$

In this case, we can obtain two sets of constraining equations on the parameters as well.

The first set of constraining equations on the parameters is

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} a_1 &= \frac{a_3 a_6^2 - 2 a_2 a_6 a_7 - a_3 a_2^2 - c_2 a_3 (a_3^2 + a_7^2)}{c_1 (a_3^2 + a_7^2)}, a_2 = a_2, a_3 = a_3, a_4 = a_4, \\ a_5 &= \frac{a_7 a_2^2 - 2 a_2 a_3 a_6 - a_7 a_6^2 - c_2 a_7 (a_3^2 + a_7^2)}{c_1 (a_3^2 + a_7^2)}, a_6 = a_6, a_7 = a_7, a_8 = a_8, \\ a_9 &= \frac{3 \left[c_2^2 (a_3^2 + a_7^2)^2 - 2 c_2 (a_2 a_7 - a_3 a_6)^2 + 2 c_2 (a_2 a_3 + a_6 a_7)^2 + (a_2^2 + a_6^2)^2 \right]^2}{c_1^4 (a_3^2 + a_7^2) (a_2 a_7 - a_3 a_6)^2} \end{aligned} \right\}, \quad (27)$$

which needs to satisfy the condition

$$a_2a_7 - a_3a_6 \neq 0, \quad (28)$$

to make the corresponding solutions f be well defined, the condition

$$a_2a_3 + a_6a_7 \neq 0, \quad (29)$$

to guarantee the positiveness of f and the condition

$$a_2^2 + a_6^2 - c_2(a_3^2 + a_7^2) \neq 0, \quad (30)$$

to realize the localization of u in all directions in the (x, y) -plane. The parameters in the set (27) yield the

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} a_1 &= -\frac{a_2^2 - a_6^2 + c_2a_3^2}{c_1a_3}, a_2 = a_2, a_3 = a_3, a_4 = a_4, a_5 = \frac{-2c_2a_2a_3a_6}{c_1(a_2^2 - a_6^2)}, a_6 = a_6, a_7 = \frac{2a_2a_3a_6}{a_2^2 - a_6^2}, \\ a_8 &= a_8, a_9 = \frac{3 \left[c_2^2a_3^4(a_2^2 + a_6^2)^2 + 2c_2a_3^2(a_2^2 - a_6^2)^3 + (a_2^2 - a_6^2)^4 \right]^2}{c_1^4a_3^4a_6^2(a_2^2 - a_6^2)^4} \end{aligned} \right\}, \quad (33)$$

third class of positive quadratic function solutions to Eq. (10) as

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \left(\frac{a_3a_6^2 - 2a_2a_6a_7 - a_3a_2^2 - c_2a_3(a_3^2 + a_7^2)}{c_1(a_3^2 + a_7^2)}x + a_2y + a_3t + a_4 \right)^2 \\ &+ \left(\frac{a_7a_2^2 - 2a_2a_3a_6 - a_7a_6^2 - c_2a_7(a_3^2 + a_7^2)}{c_1(a_3^2 + a_7^2)}x + a_6y + a_7t + a_8 \right)^2 \\ &+ \frac{3 \left[c_2^2(a_3^2 + a_7^2)^2 - 2c_2(a_2a_7 - a_3a_6)^2 + 2c_2(a_2a_3 + a_6a_7)^2 + (a_2^2 + a_6^2)^2 \right]^2}{c_1^4(a_3^2 + a_7^2)(a_2a_7 - a_3a_6)^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

which can be used to generate the third class of lump solutions to Eq. (11) through the transformation

$$u^{(III)} = \frac{4(a_1g + a_5h)}{f}, \quad (32)$$

where the function f is defined by Eq. (31), and the functions g and h are given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} g &= \frac{a_3a_6^2 - 2a_2a_6a_7 - a_3a_2^2 - c_2a_3(a_3^2 + a_7^2)}{c_1(a_3^2 + a_7^2)}x \\ &+ a_2y + a_3t + a_4, \\ h &= \frac{a_7a_2^2 - 2a_2a_3a_6 - a_7a_6^2 - c_2a_7(a_3^2 + a_7^2)}{c_1(a_3^2 + a_7^2)}x \\ &+ a_6y + a_7t + a_8. \end{aligned}$$

Note here that *eight* parameters $c_1, c_2, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6, a_7$ and a_8 are involved in the solution $u^{(III)}$, and they are demanded to satisfy conditions (28), (29) and (30) to guarantee $u^{(III)}$ to be lump solutions.

The second set of constraining equations on the parameters in this case is

which needs to satisfy the conditions

$$a_3a_6(a_2^2 - a_6^2) \neq 0, \quad (34)$$

$$a_2 \neq 0, \quad (35)$$


and

$$(a_2^2 - a_6^2)^2 - c_2a_3^2(a_2^2 + a_6^2) \neq 0, \quad (36)$$

to guarantee the well-definedness of f , the positiveness of f and the localization of u in all directions in the space, respectively. The parameters in the set (33) yield

Table 1 Summary of the lump solutions

Cases	(A): $c_1 \neq 0, c_2 = c_2, c_3 = 1, c_4 = -1$		(B): $c_1 \neq 0, c_2 = c_2, c_3 = -1, c_4 = 1$	
Lump solution u	$u^{(I)}$: Eq. (20)	$u^{(II)}$: Eq. (26)	$u^{(III)}$: Eq. (32)	$u^{(IV)}$: Eq. (38)
Quadratic function f	Eq. (19)	Eq. (25)	Eq. (31)	Eq. (37)
Well-definedness condition	$a_2a_7 - a_3a_6 \neq 0$	$a_3a_6(a_2^2 - a_6^2) \neq 0$	$a_2a_7 - a_3a_6 \neq 0$	$a_3a_6(a_2^2 - a_6^2) \neq 0$
Positiveness condition	$a_2a_3 + a_6a_7 \neq 0$	$a_2 \neq 0$	$a_2a_3 + a_6a_7 \neq 0$	$a_2 \neq 0$
Localization condition	Eq. (18)	Eq. (24)	Eq. (30)	Eq. (36)


Fig. 1 Lump dynamic characteristics of $u^{(I)}$ via Eq. (20) with $t = 0$: **a** 3-dimensional plot; **b** density plot; **c** x -curves and **d** y -curves




Fig. 2 Lump dynamic characteristics of $u^{(II)}$ via Eq. (26) with $t = 0$: **a** 3-dimensional plot; **b** density plot; **c** x -curves and **d** y -curves

the fourth class of positive quadratic function solutions to Eq. (10) as

$$f = \left(-\frac{a_2^2 - a_6^2 + c_2 a_3^2}{c_1 a_3} x + a_2 y + a_3 t + a_4 \right)^2 + \left(\frac{-2c_2 a_2 a_3 a_6}{c_1 (a_2^2 - a_6^2)} x + a_6 y + \frac{2a_2 a_3 a_6}{a_2^2 - a_6^2} t + a_8 \right)^2 + \frac{3 \left[c_2^2 a_3^4 (a_2^2 + a_6^2)^2 + 2c_2 a_2^3 (a_2^2 - a_6^2)^3 + (a_2^2 - a_6^2)^4 \right]}{c_1^4 a_3^4 a_6^2 (a_2^2 - a_6^2)^4}, \quad (37)$$

which leads to the fourth class of lump solutions to Eq. (11) through the transformation

$$u^{(IV)} = \frac{4(a_1 g + a_5 h)}{f}, \quad (38)$$

where the function f is defined by Eq. (37), and the functions g and h are given as follows:

$$g = -\frac{a_2^2 - a_6^2 + c_2 a_3^2}{c_1 a_3} x + a_2 y + a_3 t + a_4, \\ h = \frac{-2c_2 a_2 a_3 a_6}{c_1 (a_2^2 - a_6^2)} x + a_6 y + \frac{2a_2 a_3 a_6}{a_2^2 - a_6^2} t + a_8.$$

Note here that *seven* parameters c_1 , c_2 , a_2 , a_3 , a_4 , a_6 and a_8 are involved in the solution $u^{(IV)}$, and they are demanded to satisfy conditions (34), (35) and (36) to guarantee $u^{(IV)}$ to be lump solutions.




Fig. 3 Lump dynamic characteristics of $u^{(III)}$ via Eq. (32) with $t = 0$: **a** 3-dimensional plot; **b** density plot; **c** x -curves and **d** y -curves

By constructing four classes of positive quadratic function solutions to Eq. (10), we have found four classes of lump solutions to Eq. (11). It is necessary to summarize and compare those conditions on parameters associated with different lump solutions, which can be seen in Table 1. Different from soliton solutions exponentially localized in certain directions, lump solutions, as a type of rational solutions, are rationally localized in all directions in the space. To show the localized characteristics of the presented lump solutions clearly, 3-dimensional plots, density plots and 2-dimensional curves with particular choices of the involved parameters in the potential function u are plotted, which can be seen in Figs. 1, 2, 3 and 4. The involved parameters adopted in this paper are $c_1 = 1$,

$c_2 = 1$, $a_2 = 5$, $a_3 = 1$, $a_4 = 0$, $a_6 = 4$ and $a_8 = 0$, while $a_7 = 2$ in Figs. 1 and 3.

3 Concluding remarks

Based on the bilinear differential operator extension method by taking the prime number $p = 3$ in the generalized bilinear operators, a combined model of generalized bilinear Kadomtsev–Petviashvili and Boussinesq equations in terms of the function f has been proposed and studied, which possesses four arbitrary coefficients c_1, c_2, c_3 and c_4 , as seen in Eq. (10). Through the transformation $u = 2[\ln f(x, y, t)]_x$, Eq. (10) can be linked with the nonlinear differential Eq. (11). A constraint




Fig. 4 Lump dynamic characteristics of $u^{(IV)}$ via Eq. (38) with $t = 0$: **a** 3-dimensional plot; **b** density plot; **c** x -curves and **d** y -curves

among these four coefficients [see Eq. (13)] has been presented to guarantee the existence of lump solutions and used to solve and classify the lump solutions to Eq. (11) via searching for positive quadratic function solutions to Eq. (10). Four classes of lump solutions with corresponding conditions posed on lump parameters have been constructed and plotted.

We point out that conditions posed on lump parameters, i.e., Eqs. (16)–(18), Eqs. (22)–(24), Eqs. (28)–(30) and Eqs. (34)–(36), must be satisfied to guarantee the well-definedness, the positiveness and the localization of the solutions. Otherwise, quadratic function

solutions f to Eq. (10) may exist and yield rational solutions to Eq. (11), but they cannot be mapped into lump solutions u (e.g., when $a_9 \leq 0$).

Attention should also be paid to the difference between the Eqs. (5) and (10), which are both generated by a combination. However, Eq. (5) is a combined version of the Hirota-type bilinear equation, and Eq. (10) is a combined version of the generalized bilinear equation, and the former equation contains additionally two terms, $T(f) = c_3 f_{xxxx} f - 4c_3 f_{xxx} f_x$, more than the latter one. It is easy to know that the solutions derived here to Eq. (10), that is, quadratic function solutions

f in Eqs. (19), (25), (31) and (37), are solutions to Eq. (5) as well, since $T(f) = 0$ is satisfied automatically. Therefore, we can claim that we have constructed lump solutions to both Eqs. (6) and (11). Then, it is natural to ask how to construct distinct or novel lump solutions to Eqs. (6) and (11). Within the framework of this paper, we can consider sums of higher-order even function solutions or multiple sums of quadratic function solutions (more than two quadratic functions) f to search for other lump solutions, which can be written as

$$f = \sum_{i \geq 1}^{M_1} g_i^m + \sum_{j \geq 1}^{M_2} h_j^2 + c_{4(M_1+M_2)+1},$$

where $g_i = a_{1i}x + a_{2i}y + a_{3i}t + a_{4i}$, $h_j = b_{1j}x + b_{2j}y + b_{3j}t + b_{4j}$, while $a_{1i}, a_{2i}, a_{3i}, a_{4i}, b_{1j}, b_{2j}, b_{3j}, b_{4j}$ and $c_{4(M_1+M_2)+1}$ are all arbitrary real constants, $m (\geq 4) \in 2\mathbb{Z}^+$, M_1 and M_2 are integers; or

$$f = \sum_{i \geq 3}^N h_i^2 + a_{4N+1},$$

where $h_i = a_{1i}x + a_{2i}y + a_{3i}t + a_{4i}$, N is an integer, and $a_{1i}, a_{2i}, a_{3i}, a_{4i}$ and a_{4N+1} are all arbitrary real constants.

Acknowledgments This work is supported by the 111 Project of China (B16002), the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 61308018, by China Postdoctoral Science Foundation under Grant No. 2014T70031, by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities of China (2015JBM111). Dr. Ma is supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant Nos. 11371326 and 11271008, Natural Science Foundation of Shanghai under Grant No. 11ZR1414100, Zhejiang Innovation Project of China under Grant No. T200905, the First-class Discipline of Universities in Shanghai and the Shanghai University Leading Academic Discipline Project (No. A13-0101-12-004) and the Distinguished Professorship at Shanghai University of Electric Power. Dr. Chen is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant Nos. 11301454 and 11271168, the Natural Science Foundation for Colleges and Universities in Jiangsu Province (13KJD110009), the Jiangsu Qing Lan Project (2014) and XZIT (XKY 2013202).

References

1. Biswas, A.: Solitary wave solution for KdV equation with power-law nonlinearity and time-dependent coefficients. *Nonlinear Dyn.* **58**, 345 (2009)
2. Mani Rajan, M.S., Mahalingam, A.: Nonautonomous solitons in modified inhomogeneous Hirota equation: soliton control and soliton interaction. *Nonlinear Dyn.* **79**, 2469 (2015)
3. Ablowitz, M.J., Clarkson, P.A.: *Solitons, Nonlinear Evolution Equations and Inverse Scattering*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1991)
4. Krishnan, E.V., Triki, H., Labidi, M., Biswas, A.: A study of shallow water waves with Gardner's equation. *Nonlinear Dyn.* **66**, 497 (2011)
5. Morris, R.M., Kara, A.H., Biswas, A.: An analysis of the Zhiber–Shabat equation including Lie point symmetries and conservation laws. *Collect. Math.* **67**, 55 (2016)
6. Lü, X., Ma, W.X., Yu, J., Khalique, C.M.: Solitary waves with the Madelung fluid description: a generalized derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.* **31**, 40 (2016)
7. Lü, X., Ma, W.X., Chen, S.T., Khalique, C.M.: A note on rational solutions to a Hirota–Satsuma-like equation. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **58**, 13 (2016)
8. Razborova, P., Kara, A.H., Biswas, A.: Additional conservation laws for Rosenau–KdV–RLW equation with power law nonlinearity by Lie symmetry. *Nonlinear Dyn.* **79**, 743 (2015)
9. Razborova, P., Kara, A.H., Biswas, A.: Optical solitons in nonlinear directional couplers by sine-cosine function method and Bernoulli's equation approach. *Nonlinear Dyn.* **81**, 1933 (2015)
10. Lü, X., Ma, W.X., Zhou, Y., Khalique, C.M.: Rational solutions to an extended Kadomtsev–Petviashvili-like equation with symbolic computation. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **71**, 1560 (2016)
11. Lü, X., Lin, F.: Soliton excitations and shape-changing collisions in alpha helical proteins with interspine coupling at higher order. *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simu.* **32**, 241 (2016)
12. Wang, D.S., Wei, X.: Integrability and exact solutions of a two-component Korteweg–de Vries system. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **51**, 60 (2016)
13. Dai, C.Q., Wang, Y.Y., Zhang, X.F.: Spatiotemporal localizations in (3+1)-dimensional PT-symmetric and strongly nonlocal nonlinear media. *Nonlinear Dyn.* **83**, 2453 (2016)
14. Satsuma, J., Ablowitz, M.J.: Two-dimensional lumps in nonlinear dispersive systems. *J. Math. Phys.* **20**, 1496 (1979)
15. Gilson, C.R., Nimmo, J.J.C.: Lump solutions of the BKP equation. *Phys. Lett. A* **147**, 472 (1990)
16. Kaup, D.J.: The lump solutions and the Bäcklund transformation for the three-dimensional three-wave resonant interaction. *J. Math. Phys.* **22**, 1176 (1981)
17. Imai, K.: Dromion and lump solutions of the Ishimori–I equation. *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **98**, 1013 (1997)
18. Ma, W.X.: Lump solutions to the Kadomtsev–Petviashvili equation. *Phys. Lett. A* **379**, 1975 (2015)
19. Ma, W.X., Qin, Z.Y., Lü, X.: Lump solutions to dimensionally reduced p-gKP and p-gBKP equations. *Nonlinear Dyn.* **84**, 923 (2016)
20. Hirota, R.: *The Direct Method in Soliton Theory*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2004)
21. Ma, W.X., Li, C.X., He, J.S.: *Nonlinear Anal.* **70**, 4245 (2009)

22. Ma, W.X.: Generalized bilinear differential equations. *Stud. Nonlinear Sci.* **2**, 140 (2011)
23. Ma, W.X.: Bilinear equations, Bell polynomials and linear superposition principle. *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **411**, 012021 (2013)
24. Ma, W.X.: Bilinear equations and resonant solutions characterized by Bell polynomials. *Rep. Math. Phys.* **72**, 41 (2013)
25. Ma, W.X., Fan, E.G.: Linear superposition principle applying to Hirota bilinear equations. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **61**, 950 (2011)
26. Ma, W.X., Zhang, Y., Tang, Y.N., Tu, J.Y.: Hirota bilinear equations with linear subspaces of solutions. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **218**, 7174 (2012)
27. Lü, X., Li, J.: Integrability with symbolic computation on the Bogoyavlensky Konopelchenko model: Bell-polynomial manipulation, bilinear representation, and Wronskian solution. *Nonlinear Dyn.* **77**, 135 (2014)
28. Lü, X.: New bilinear Bäcklund transformation with multi-soliton solutions for the (2+1)-dimensional Sawada-Kotera mode. *Nonlinear Dyn.* **76**, 161 (2014)
29. Shi, C.G., Zhao, B.Z., Ma, W.X.: Exact rational solutions to a Boussinesq-like equation in (1+1)-dimensions. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **48**, 170 (2015)
30. Zhang, Y., Ma, W.X.: Rational solutions to a KdV-like equation. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **256**, 252 (2015)
31. Zhang, Y.F., Ma, W.X.: A study on rational solutions to a KP-like equation. *Z. Naturforsch* **70a**, 263 (2015)
32. Li, D.S., Zhang, H.Q.: *Appl. Math. Comput.* **145**, 351 (2003)
33. Li, D.S., Zhang, H.Q.: *Appl. Math. Comput.* **146**, 381 (2003)
34. El-Wakil, S.A., Abulwafa, E.M., Elhanbaly, A., El-Shewy, E.K.: *Astrophys Space Sci.* **353**, 501 (2014)
35. Bell, E.T.: Exponential polynomials. *Ann. Math.* **35**, 258 (1934)
36. Lü, X., Tian, B., Sun, K., Wang, P.: Bell-polynomial manipulations on the Bäcklund transformations and Lax pairs for some soliton equations with one Tau-function. *J. Math. Phys.* **51**, 113506 (2010)
37. Lü, X., Tian, B., Qi, F.H.: Bell-polynomial construction of Bäcklund transformations with auxiliary independent variable for some soliton equations with one Tau-function. *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl* **13**, 1130 (2012)